Arpana Tripathi
Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University, Vedant Gyan Valley, Jharna, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Y Chandrakala
Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University, Vedant Gyan Valley, Jharna, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Systematic review: Understanding media and journalistic methods in the fight against misinformation in the digital era

Arpana Tripathi and Y Chandrakala

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.22271/27084450.2026.v7.i1a.143

Abstract

This systematic review synthesizes qualitative research on the role of media and journalistic practices in combating misinformation in the digital era. It explores how media literacy, traditional journalistic standards, and technological innovations intersect to foster a resilient information environment. Drawing upon theories such as Uses and Gratifications and Media Dependency, the review examines scholarly works from 2011 to 2024, emphasizing the importance of media literacy education, responsible journalism, and policy integration in addressing misinformation, especially amidst challenges posed by AI-generated content.

Introduction

The rise of misinformation and disinformation on digital platforms poses a significant threat to informed decision-making, public health, and democratic processes worldwide. The rapid spread of false content via social media, blogs, and messaging apps has eroded trust in traditional information sources and created a complex environment that presents challenges for both consumers and news producers.

In response, scientists and experts have explored various journalistic and media methods to combat disinformation. These include technological interventions such as fact-checking algorithms, as well as more human-centered approaches such as media literacy and journalistic standards for transparency and verification.

While technological tools provide immediate, scalable solutions, the sustainability and depth of understanding necessary to navigate the digital landscape require a focus on media literacy and responsible journalism. This systematic review aims to synthesize qualitative research to understand how media and journalistic methods contribute to the fight against misinformation, emphasizing the importance of cultural, contextual, and educational factors.

While technological tools offer immediate and scalable solutions, the sustainability and sound knowledge required to navigate the digital world necessitate a focus on media literacy and responsible journalism. This systematic review aims to synthesize qualitative research to understand how media and journalistic methods contribute to combating disinformation, highlighting the importance of cultural, contextual, and educational factors.

Objectives

1. To analyze the role of media literacy in empowering individuals to identify and resist misinformation.
2. To explore traditional journalistic practices and their adaptation to digital challenges.
3. To examine how theories such as Uses and Gratifications and Media Dependency inform understanding of media consumption behaviors.
4. To evaluate policy and educational strategies aimed at fostering media resilience.
5. To identify gaps in current research and suggest directions for future inquiry.

Methodology

Search strategy and inclusion criteria: A comprehensive literature search was conducted

Corresponding Author:
Arpana Tripathi
Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women's University, Vedant Gyan Valley, Jharna, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

across academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, and JSTOR, covering publications from 2011 to 2024. Keywords included "media literacy," "journalistic methods," "misinformation," "disinformation," "digital media," "fact-checking," "media trust," and "AI misinformation."

Inclusion criteria
1. Qualitative research studies
2. Focus on media literacy, journalistic practices, or policy responses
3. Addressing misinformation in digital media
4. Published in peer-reviewed journals
5. Written in English

Exclusion criteria
1. Quantitative-only studies
2. Articles focusing solely on technical solutions without a media or journalistic perspective
3. Conference abstracts, opinion pieces, or non-peer-reviewed reports

Data Extraction and Analysis
A total of 78 articles met inclusion criteria after screening. Data were extracted regarding:
1. Research aims and questions
2. Theoretical frameworks used
3. Methodologies employed (interviews, focus groups, ethnographies)
4. Key findings related to media literacy, journalistic practices, and policy responses
5. Contextual and cultural factors

A thematic synthesis was conducted to identify recurring themes, patterns, and divergences across studies, following the guidelines by Thomas and Harden (2008) [14].

Results
1. The Role of Media Literacy in Combating Misinformation
1.1 Conceptualization of Media Literacy
Media literacy has become a central theme in various studies and is defined as the ability to obtain, analyze, evaluate, and create media content (Hobbs, 2010) [6]. Researchers emphasize that media literacy goes beyond technical skills and includes critical thinking, source evaluation, and insight into the motivations behind media consumption (Potter, 2013) [12].
Qualitative research shows that media literacy training promotes skepticism towards misleading information and supports proactive verification. For example, a focus group study by Tandoc *et al*. (2018) illustrated how participants in media literacy training applied fact-checking strategies before forwarding news.

1.2 Challenges in Media Literacy Implementation
Despite its importance, obstacles to integrating media literacy into formal education and public awareness campaigns persist (Kahne *et al*., 2016) [7]. Stakeholders cite a lack of resources, inconsistent curricula, and varying levels of political and institutional support as reasons (Livingstone & Helsper, 2010) [9].
Furthermore, the rapid evolution of misinformation tactics, including deepfakes and AI-generated content, complicates

recognition and verification (Chesney & Citron, 2019) [2]. Participants in qualitative interviews express difficulties in discerning authentic from manipulated media, underscoring the need for adaptive literacy programs.

1.3 Media Literacy as a Cultural and Contextual Practice
Research shows that media literacy is context-dependent and influenced by cultural norms and media ecosystems. Studies in authoritarian contexts, for example, illustrate the challenges faced by state-controlled narratives that suppress critical engagement with the media. Erica Scharrer and Yuxi Zhou (2022) point to similarities in the conception of media literacy and provide empirical evidence that media education can develop the skills necessary for informed citizenship in an increasingly media- and information-rich world.
In liberal democracies, media literacy initiatives often focus on promoting civic engagement and critical skepticism (Hobbs, 2010) [6]. Qualitative analyses show that adapted and culturally sensitive approaches improve effectiveness.

2. Traditional and Evolving Journalistic Practices
2.1 Core Journalistic Standards
The analysis confirms that fundamental journalistic principles-thorough fact-checking, transparency, and source verification-remain essential. Ethnographic studies document how journalists adapt these standards to digital environments, with a focus on transparency in source attribution and accuracy.

2.2 Challenges in the Digital Landscape
The rise of social media makes journalistic fact-checking more difficult, as news spreads rapidly and uncontrollably. Journalists often face limited resources, information overload, and threats to their objectivity. Qualitative studies show that journalists are increasingly relying on collaborative fact-checking, crowdsourcing, and public engagement to maintain journalistic standards (Graves & Cherubini, 2016) [5].

2.3 Ethical and Normative Shifts
Research indicates a shift towards participatory journalism, in which the public actively participates in shaping content (Molyneux and Holton, 2015) [10]. While this democratizes information, it also introduces challenges related to accountability and misinformation spread by non-professionals.

3. Theoretical Frameworks Informing Media Use and Misinformation Dynamics
3.1 Uses and Gratifications Theory
Studies applying the Uses and Gratifications model (Katz *et al*., 1974) show that people seek resources that satisfy specific needs, such as entertainment, information, or social interaction (Papacharissi and Mendelson, 2011) [11].
Research suggests that users motivated by entertainment or confirmation bias are more susceptible to disinformation. Conversely, people with higher media literacy are more likely to seek reliable sources and are therefore less frequently exposed to disinformation.

3.2 Media Dependency Theory
Media dependency (Ball-Rokeach and DeFleur, 1976) [1]

explains that in times of crisis the public relies heavily on the media to obtain information, which increases the susceptibility to misinformation if the sources are not reliable (Molyneux and Holton, 2015) [10].

Qualitative case studies conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic show that social media use is associated with a higher likelihood of exposure to false health claims. This underscores the importance of credible journalism and media literacy.

4. Policy and Educational Strategies
4.1 Integrating Media Literacy into Education
Research supports the integration of media literacy into school curricula, emphasizing key skills acquired in early childhood education (Hobbs, 2010) [6]. Successful case studies include project-based learning and participatory media workshops (Kahne *et al*., 2016) [7].

4.2 Collaborative and Multi-stakeholder Approaches
Successful approaches require collaboration between governments, educational institutions, the media, and civil society (Livingstone and Haddon, 2019). Policy measures that promote transparency, accountability, and public awareness campaigns are essential.

4.3 Challenges and Opportunities
Although political measures aim to enhance media resilience, challenges such as political polarization, limited resources, and technological complexity persist (Chesney and Citron, 2019) [2]. However, emerging initiatives employing AI tools for fact-checking demonstrate promising approaches to complement human efforts.

5. The Impact of AI and Deepfakes
Recent qualitative studies highlight the emerging threat of AI-generated misinformation, including deepfakes, which challenge traditional verification methods (Chesney & Citron, 2019) [2]. Researchers call for adaptive media literacy programs and advanced technological solutions to detect manipulations.

Discussion
Synthesis of findings
Qualitative studies underscore that media literacy is essential for empowering people to deal with digital disinformation. Its effectiveness depends on context-specific adaptation, early integration into education, and continuous updates to respond to evolving threats such as AI-generated content.

Traditional journalistic standards, when upheld and adapted to digital environments, serve as a vital bulwark against misinformation. Participatory journalism and audience engagement further enhance trust and verification.

Theoretical frameworks such as Uses and Gratifications and Media Dependency elucidate media consumption behaviors, informing targeted interventions. Policy strategies emphasizing multi-stakeholder collaboration are essential for a resilient information ecosystem.

Gaps and Future Directions
Despite extensive research, gaps remain in our understanding of how media literacy can be effectively promoted in different cultural contexts, particularly in authoritarian regimes. Further qualitative research is needed on the ethical implications of emerging technologies such as deepfakes and AI in journalism.

Moreover, longitudinal studies examining the impact of media literacy interventions on misinformation resilience are scarce. Future research should explore innovative pedagogies and technological tools, integrating ethical and cultural considerations.

Conclusion
This systematic review highlights the multifaceted approaches necessary to combat misinformation in the digital era. Media literacy, traditional journalistic norms, and political initiatives form an interdependent, constantly evolving ecosystem. The emphasis on qualitative perspectives allows for deep insights into contextual challenges and opportunities and forms the basis for effective strategies for a resilient and informed society.

This systematic review highlights the multifaceted approaches necessary to combat misinformation in the digital era. Media literacy, traditional journalistic standards, and policy initiatives form an interdependent ecosystem that must evolve continuously. Emphasizing qualitative insights offers rich understanding of contextual challenges and opportunities, guiding effective strategies for a resilient, informed society.

References
1. Ball-Rokeach SJ, DeFleur ML. A dependency model of mass-media effects. Communication Research. 1976;3(1):3-21.
2. Chesney R, Citron DK. Deepfakes and the new disinformation war. Foreign Affairs. 2019;98(1):147-155.
3. Scharrer E, Zhou Y. Media Literacy and Communication. In: Oxford Research Encyclopedias. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2022. p. 24.
4. Flanagin AJ, Metzger MJ. The role of site features, user attributes, and information verification behaviors on the perceived credibility of web-based information. New Media and Society. 2022;9:319-342.
5. Graves L, Cherubini F. The Rise of Fact-Checking Sites in Europe. Oxford: Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism; 2016.
6. Hobbs R. Digital and media literacy: A plan of action. Washington, DC: The Aspen Institute; 2010.
7. Kahne J, Lee NJ, Feezell J. Media literacy and engagement: A review of the research. Journal of Media Literacy Education. 2016;8(3):1-13.
8. Katz E, Blumler JG, Gurevitch M. Utilization of mass communication by the individual. In: Gurr GG, editor. The uses of mass communications: Current perspectives on gratifications research. 2016. p. 19-32.
9. Livingstone S, Helsper E. Balancing opportunities and risks in teenagers' use of the internet: A longitudinal study. New Media & Society. 2010;12(2):309-329.
10. Molyneux L, Holton A. Branding (Health) journalism: The influence of social media and participatory journalism. Digital Journalism. 2015;3(2):225-242.
11. Papacharissi Z, Mendelson A. An exploratory study of social media use during crises. Communication & Society. 2011;24(4):287-308.
12. Potter WJ. Media literacy. 6th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 2013.
13. Singer JB. User-generated content and the future of

journalism. Digital Journalism. 2010;4(4):406-413.

14. Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for thematic synthesis of qualitative research. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2008;8:45.